



APPLICATION NO.

10/047,726

1301 MCKINNEY

SUITE 5100

26271

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

01/15/2002

10/06/2003

7590

HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Alok Nigam

HO-P01709US6

EXAMINER

PHAN, HIEU

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_					2
			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
			10/047,720	3	NIGAM, ALOK	
' Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit	
•1			Hieu Phan		3738	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication a	appears on the	cover sheet i	with the correspondence ac	idress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a department of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of 37 CFR nunication. 0) days, a r atutory perio will, by stat	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statur od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a tory minimum of th expire SIX (6) MC cation to become <i>i</i>	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	ed on <u>0</u>	2 July 2003 .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)□	This action is	non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the	applicat	ion.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withd	lrawn from con	sideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F				w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (P	

Application/Control Number: 10/047,726

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barrett et al. (U.S. Patent 5,336,261).

Barrett et al. disclose a corneal inlay lens having a body form from a material with an index of refraction substantially the same as that of corneal tissue; the body having two surface and joining each other at the periphery of the lens; and the thickness of the edge being less than about 15 micrometers as is claimed (figure 1B and 2A, column 4 lines 55-68 and column 6 lines 1-14, 47-53).

Please note that the invention of Barrett et al. does not teach away from using materials with low refractive index but teach that by reducing the size of the corneal inlay, various materials can be used without adverse side effects cause by using larger lenses.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 07/02/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to claim 1, applicant argues that Barrett does not disclose the limitation," material having an index of refraction substantially the same as the corneal tissue". Examiner disagrees because Barrett disclose in column 6, lines 59 and 60 that

Application/Control Number: 10/047,726

Art Unit: 3738

the material that was use had an index refraction of 1.42 to 1.43. The index refraction of 1.42 to 1.43 is clearly "substantially" the same as the index refraction of the corneal tissue. Therefore the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barrett et al. (U.S. Patent 5,336,261) is proper.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-8969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Hieu Phan Examiner Art Unit 3738

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700